

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,923 08/09/2001		08/09/2001	Keiichi Imamura	2001-0555A	5080
513	7590	04/09/2003			
	•	ID & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STR SUITE 800	EET N. W	<b>'.</b>	ROBINSON, BINTA M		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1625	5
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	$\mathcal{O}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/830,923 Examiner	IMAMURA ET AL.				
	,		Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The MAILING DATE f this communication app	Binta M. Robinson	1625 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		—· is action is non-final.					
·	,—		osecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		,				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
<u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)ı	<u> </u>	s have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1)  Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

'Application/Control Number: 09/830,923

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-19, 27-28, drawn to the compound of formula I where R1 is as claimed, R2 is as claimed, A is as claimed, and R3 is H, cycloalkyl, cycloalkenyl, and aryl, and a process of preparing a compound of formula I wherein the radicals are defined above.

Group II, claim(s) 1-19, 27-28, drawn to compound of formula I where R1 is as claimed, R2 is as claimed, A is as claimed, and R3 is pyridyl and a process of preparing a compound of formula I wherein the radicals are defined above.

Group III, claim(s) 1-19, 27-28, drawn to the compound of formula I where R1 is as claimed, R2 is as claimed, A is as claimed, and R3 is benzo, 1, 4-dioxane and a process of preparing a compound of formula I wherein the radicals are defined above.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-19, 27-28, drawn to the compound of formula I where R1 is as claimed, R2 is as claimed, A is as claimed, and R3 is isoxazole substituted with alkyl or thiazole substituted with alkyl and a process of preparing a compound of formula I wherein the radicals are defined above

Group V, claim(s) 20-23, 25-26, drawn to the compound of formula II where B, R4, and R1 are as claimed, and the process of preparing the compound of formula 2.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species do not share a common core.

'Application/Control Number: 09/830,923

Art Unit: 1625

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-28.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species lack a common core.

A telephone call was made to Mike Davis on 3/28/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/830,923

Art Unit: 1625

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson March 28, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600